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ABSTRACT:

Marriage can not be avoided religious differences in a pluralistic country like Indonesia. Marriage is actually a lot of obstacles and have a higher risk of failure of the marriage in religion. Individuals who are dating partner from a different religion are often in conflict to continue or not continue the relationship because of various constraints in the marriage of religious differences. So the problem will be studied in this research is how is the coping strategies used in decision-making in individuals with different religious dating, how far these coping strategies can overcome the conflict within the framework of decision making in individuals with different religions and how the dating process by which these coping strategies on the subject of coping strategies used in decision-making subject because the subject had more than three years of dating partner.. Partner has the properties expected of a candidate’s wife. The decision to move the subject of religion seems to have to stage an effective decision making. The theory of commitment from Surra & Hughes (1997) was used to explore the background of the individual relationships. While the literature review of Levinson (1995) concerning the factors influencing the role of religion is used to explore the religious background of individuals. The components of Antonovsky coping strategies (1979) was used as a framework to describe the coping strategies of decision making in conflict situations. The approach used in this study is a qualitative approach with case studies form the subject of research dating a guy who 23-year-old religious differences. Techniques used for data collection were observation and interviews.. After doing research on the subject and significant other then we got the result of how the image coping strategies used in making decisions on the dating of individual rationality that is different from the religion which they will continue their relationship even though they knew the risks they will face later and mutual respect and appreciate religion pasanganan embraced them. Flexibility is because of the importance of the role of religion in marriage or family life they
want to get married in one religion. Farsightness the compliant attitude of the
subject which, if any conflict of opinion and religious issues with their partner.
How far these coping strategies can overcome the conflict within the framework
of decision making on individual differences agama. Rasionalitas dating is an
accurate and objective assessment of the situation or source of stress. Although
the subject of different religions and their partners, but the factors that make the
subject of love while maintaining this relationship. These differences create
difficulties in the subject and her partner celebrated the big day in the religion
and worship. But the subject has thought about the impact of these religious
differences, and they both will try to resolve the problems that will come,
including the matter of religion the children if they will marry later. Flexibility
is the existence of variations of coping strategies to overcome the sources of
stress and a desire to consider these variations. Differences in religion,
socioeconomic background, differences of opinion, that the family did not
approve, those things become the background of the conflicts that arise.
Farsightness is the ability to anticipate the consequences of various coping
strategies used. The decision to move the subject of religion seems to have
towards effective decision making, although not all passed. How is the process
by which these coping strategies on the subject. The rationality of the subject
and was impressed at first sight the phone that makes the subject can act with
their partner until now, this does not escape through the intermediary of his
friends. Because her partner was afraid his family would know to do them later,
they both decided to vote secretly dating (bakstreet) so that this relationship is
still running. Flexibility, the conflict experienced by the subject of religion
comes from the move plan. On the one hand the subject wants to marry a couple
who are Christians, on the other hand the subject was not yet ready to move on
religion and the fear of disappointing his family. Farsightness, beginning the
process of coping strategies is the subject of subjective estimates about the
possibility of married couples by 100%. Estimated high enough this can be
caused because the subject had felt so good with her partner. But the attitude of
tolerance between the two makes this relationship persist. By using the strategy
of rationality that is they will continue their relationship even though they knew
the risks they will face later on and respect each other and respect the religion of
their pasanganan. Flexibility strategy that is due to the important role of religion
in marriage or family life they want to get married in one religion. Farsightness
strategy that is compliant attitude of the subject that if any conflict of opinion
and religious issues with their partner. The difference of religion is the subject
intend to move religion so there is no problem in the future.